



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARK  
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
--------------------	-------------	-----------------------	---------------------

10/631,143 07/31/2003

10/752,124 01/06/04

GHOLAM PEYMAN

P/25CP

EXAMINER

H. SHEIKH

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1615

DATE MAILED:

THURMAN K. PAGE, M.A., J.D.  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

*T. K. Page*

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) THURMAN K. PAGE (SPE)

(3) DR. GHOLAM PEYMAN

(2) HUMERA N. SHEIKH

(4) BEVERLY LYMAN, Ph.D.

Date of Interview 16 DECEMBER 2005

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Televideo Conference ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☒ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☒ Yes ☐ No If yes, brief description: DRAWING ILLUSTRATIONS OF EYE ANATOMY

Agreement ☒ was reached. ☐ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: OF RECORD

Identification of prior art discussed: (UENO-USPN 6,872,383) (EDWARDS 6,670,398) (ROBINSON 6,713,081) (KASWAN 5,411,952) (TUSE ET AL. 6,482,799) (KUKARNI 5,387,589)

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Presentation made describing the prior art techniques and the failure of the art to treat posterior segment diseases as well as the prior art recognition of treating diseases in front of the eye. Because of the distinct mechanisms of the disease reconsideration requested for the patentability of a new use as claimed by applicant. Applicant's response due 01/21/06.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has been ready to be filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.